Discussion Points:

Identified three reasons why policies should belong:

- o Compliance with CO
- o CI decision-making processes
- o Core values, not just procedural requirements

September Meeting Review:

Reviewed student policies, aiming to limit discussion on each policy to 10 minutes.

Policy Manual Updates:

Academic Affairs (Jessica):

Most policies fall under RSP, with two exceptions.

Unmanned Aerial Systems policy is under review and should not fall under DAA.

Touch Marketing policy: clarification needed on whether it is exclusive to us or shared with others.

Recommendation (2nd Reading):

1. Policy on Hospitality, Prizes, Awards, Gifts, and Incentives (Bradley):

Affects the entire community and previously lacked clarity.

Addressed lingering questions from the last meeting.

Presented by Stephanie Bracamonte (University Controller).

Key questions raised:

Local vseaentire commutation ps

Restaurant Costs: Inflation concerns raised by Dr. FT; exceptions may be needed.

Dottie's Question: Why a \$75 limit instead of \$100? Stephanie responded ts

2. Extramural Grants (Saiga Anne):

First of three policies; updated language to include "ethical" in the title.

Christina Smith noted it mirrors a policy requiring a 60-day notice.

3. Pre-approval of Institutional Grants Proposal (Saiga Anne):

Focused on institutional grants for Hispanic and minority groups; aims for broader departmental reach.

Kaia suggested adding a statement regarding scholarships.

Christina Smith proposed a separate policy for indirect costs, suggesting a combined approach for faculty clarity.